



There Is No Gay in the Gay Wedding Decision

By: Alan J. Huber CFP®

855 561 0500

CNN headline: Supreme Court limits LGBTQ protections.

This is the media big lie. No protections for gays were addressed by the court.

One of the reasons for publishing my column is to make a record of my predictions. This is important, because when I make predictions, my track record shows them pretty much on point.

In 2017, I wrote that Donald Trump is going to lose 2020, because we do not control social media and they will do everything including censoring the president to stop him from winning.

Everyone thought that I was nuts. No way Twitter, Facebook and other social media would censor The President of the United States???..... **“Proven Right Again!!!”**

I think it was 2015, Masterpiece Bakers, the gay wedding cake case was working through the court system, when I wrote that the only way to win these cases is: **“Forced Expression NOT Religious Freedom.”**

No court is going to allow you to discriminate against gays because God told you to.

The recent Supreme Court ruling is a blueprint for how to win a precedent-setting case, and as I said, there is actually nothing about religious belief or discrimination against gays in this case regardless of what the media wants to say.

The designer, **“Lorie Smith”** should be as famous as Rosa Parks for this court decision. What did she do that was so great?

1) She was never accused of discrimination or breaking the law. She was the plaintiff, not the defendant. She sued the state for a protective injunction to protect her rights and her business.

2) She was not accused of doing anything wrong, because she had not accepted any orders or taken any actions. The facts were stipulated by both sides. This means that there was no argument in the courts as to what really happened.

3) Because she could stipulate the facts, the following was accepted as true:

a) Ms. Smith is “willing to work with all people regardless of classifications such as race, creed, sexual orientation, and gender” and “will gladly create custom graphics and websites” for clients of any sexual orientation;

b) she will not produce content that **“contradicts biblical truth”** regardless of who orders it;

c) she provides design services that are “expressive” and her “original, customized” creations “contribute to the overall message”

d.) the wedding websites will “express Ms. Smith’s message celebrating and promoting” her view of marriage.

e) viewers “will know that the websites are her original artwork;”

With the above factors stipulated, Justice Gorsuch explained it so simply:

“...governments could force “an unwilling Muslim movie director to make a film with a Zionist message,” they could compel “an atheist muralist to accept a commission celebrating Evangelical zeal,” and they could require a gay website designer to create websites for a group advocating against same-sex marriage, so long as these speakers would accept commissions from the public with different messages.....

Put simply, no one can hire me and force me to write or say: **“Happy Birthday in Heaven, Adolf Hitler.”**

This is a win for free speech, and has no impact on gay rights whatsoever, unless you believe you can hire a wedding singer and force her to say: **“May Jesus bless the marriage of Ben & Jerry’.”**

A word about the affirmative-action case.

The most important concept in the decision was:

Not all Blacks think alike. Not all Hispanics think alike. Not all Asians think alike.

The only thing these people have in common as a group is the color of their skin which tells you nothing about them or their life.

This decision signals the beginning of the end for institutional racism in America.

There cannot be equality when people believe that a group of people based on the color of their skin are not qualified for the job. This statement would be racist, except this court decision has proven that it is true.

You are not qualified but you were accepted into the program because of the color of your skin. You are allowed to graduate solely because of the color of your skin. You were hired, not because you are the best, but because we had a quota to fill .

America would be justified in thinking that the only reason you have your position is due to the color of your skin, and that is a shame.

As this decision is implemented and becomes widespread the stigma of affirmative-action will disappear and Blacks and other groups will be judged on their merit and not the color of their skin.

This is how you explain the affirmative-action decision.

Sponsored message

Need Information on Health Insurance or Medicare Plans

Alan will prepare a **FREE** quote comparing your insurance plans to others on the market..

Alan is licensed in many **US** States.

Call Alan at **855-561-0500** or email **Alan@HealthPlansMadeSimple.com**



Alan's One Minute Issue™ is written by Alan J Huber CFP®, to help right-minded individuals win their arguments with wrong-minded individuals. Alan is available to speak, at: GOP events, Trump clubs and Conservative meetings across the country. Alan is a licensed insurance agent.

Call 855 561 0500 or
Alan@GOPMadeSimple.com

Medicare Required Disclosure

“We do not offer every plan available in your area. Any information we provide is limited to those plans we do offer in your area.

Please contact Medicare.gov or 1-800-MEDICARE to get information on all your options.”